Submitted to Mod. Phys. Lett. **A**.

DIMENSIONAL REDUCTION *

Corinne A. Manogue †
Department of Physics, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA

Tevian Dray ‡
Department of Mathematics, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA

(March 31, 1998)

Using an octonionic formalism, we introduce a new mechanism for reducing 10 spacetime dimensions to 4 without compactification. Applying this mechanism to the free, 10-dimensional, massless (momentum space) Dirac equation results in a particle spectrum consisting of exactly 3 generations. Each generation contains 1 massive spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particle with 2 spin states, 1 massless spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particle with only 1 helicity state, and their antiparticles — precisely one generation of leptons. There is also a single massless spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particle/antiparticle pair with the opposite helicity and no generation structure.

We conclude with a discussion of some further consequences of this approach, including those which could arise when using the formalism on a curved spacetime background, as well as the implications for the nature of spacetime itself.

arXiv:hep-th/9807044v1 6 Jul 1998

One of the most attractive aspects of superstring theory is its essential uniqueness. Compactification, the current mechanism for reducing 10 spacetime dimensions to 4, destroys this uniqueness, as it leads to an infinite number of possible 4-dimensional theories. Here we propose a new dimensional reduction mechanism, without compactification, and apply it for simplicity to the free massless (momentum space) Dirac equation in 10 dimensions; the result is a unique 4-dimensional theory with some realistic physical properties.

Many authors have used the octonions to describe physics in 10 dimensions; see for example [1,2] and references cited therein. In this formalism, the Dirac equation can be written

$$\widetilde{P}\psi = 0 \tag{1}$$

where ψ is a 2-component octonionic column representing a Majorana-Weyl spinor, $P = p^\mu \sigma_\mu$ is a 2×2 Hermitian octonionic matrix representing a vector, $\widetilde{P} := P - \mathrm{tr}(P)I$, and the σ_μ are generalized Pauli matrices. In this equation, the role of the gamma matrices is taken by octonionic multiplication.

As discussed in [3–7], $p^\mu p_\mu \equiv -\det(P) = 0$, which implies the existence of a 2-component octonionic spinor θ such that

$$P = \pm\theta\theta^\dagger \tag{2}$$

where the sign depends on the time orientation, i.e. on the sign of p^0. The components of θ can be chosen to lie in the complex subspace of $\mathbb{O}$ determined by P. The general solution of (1) is then

$$\psi = \theta\xi \tag{3}$$

where ξ is an arbitrary octonion.

The $SO(9,1)$ invariance of (1) can be seen using the explicit representation of *finite* Lorentz transformations in 10 spacetime dimensions in terms of $SL(2,\mathbb{O})$ given by Manogue & Schray [5,8]; this is is more usually discussed at the Lie algebra level [9,10].

We now choose a preferred octonionic unit ℓ; we label the octonionic units $i, j, k, k\ell, j\ell, i\ell, \ell$, choosing ℓ rather than i for the preferred unit and saving i, j, k for a distinguished quaternionic triple. The map

$$\pi(q) = \frac{1}{2}(q + \ell q \bar{\ell}). \tag{4}$$

---

*This essay received Honorable Mention in the 1998 competition of the Gravity Research Foundation.

†Electronic address: corinne@physics.orst.edu

‡Electronic address: tevian@math.orst.edu

projects $\mathbb{O}$ to a preferred complex subalgebra $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{O}$, thus determining a preferred $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ subgroup of $SL(2,\mathbb{O})$ and breaking the 10-dimensional Lorentz invariance down to 4-dimensional Lorentz invariance. This is the heart of the new dimensional reduction mechanism.

What are the physical consequences of this dimensional reduction?

We first identify the possible particles using octonionic versions of the standard energy and spin projections. Since P is null ($\det(P) = 0$), the projected vector field $\pi(P)$ is timelike or null ($\det(\pi(P)) \geq 0$), and has the same time-orientation as P. We therefore obtain both massive and massless particles, with mass given by

$$\det\big(\pi(P)\big) = m^2. \tag{5}$$

We now use a (4-dimensional) Lorentz transformation to bring a massive particle to rest, or to orient a massless particle in the z-direction.

We can distinguish particles from their antiparticles by the sign of p_0, as usual; this is the same as the sign on the RHS of (2). For massive particles, we can equivalently distinguish particles from antiparticles using the energy projections

$$\Pi_\pm = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_t \mp \sigma_k\right) \tag{6}$$

where $\sigma_t = I$ and where, without loss of generality, we have chosen our octonionic basis so that $P - \pi(P)$ is a multiple of σ_k, the (generalized) Pauli matrix corresponding to k.

Due to the lack of commutativity and associativity, the spin operator takes the form

$$\hat{L}_z\psi := -(L_z\psi)\ell \tag{7}$$

where

$$L_z = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \ell & 0 \\ 0 & -\ell \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

as usual. $\hat{L}_z$ is self-adjoint [11] with respect to the inner product

$$\langle \psi, \chi \rangle = \pi\big(\psi^\dagger \chi\big) \tag{9}$$

and spin eigenstates are obtained as the eigenvectors with eigenvalues $\pm\frac{1}{2}$.

Putting this all together, we find 1 massive spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particle at rest, with 2 spin states, namely

$$e_\uparrow = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -k \end{pmatrix} \qquad e_\downarrow = \begin{pmatrix} k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{10}$$

whose antiparticle is obtained by replacing k by $-k$ (and changing the sign in (2)). These states (and those below) may be multiplied on the right by an arbitrary complex number. We also find 1 massless spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particle involving k moving in the z-direction, with a single helicity state

$$\nu_z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ k \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

which represents both a particle and its antiparticle, depending on the sign in (2). It is important to note that

$$\nu_{-z} = \begin{pmatrix} k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

corresponds to the same massless particle moving in the opposite direction but with the same helicity!

There is also a single *complex* massless spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particle, with the opposite helicity, given by

$$\emptyset_z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

As with the other massless states, this describes both a particle and an antiparticle.

We have shown how the massless Dirac equation in 10 dimensions reduces to the (massive and massless) Dirac equation in 4 dimensions. Choosing a preferred octonionic unit ℓ not only reduces 10 dimensions to 4, it also singles

out 3 natural, nonoverlapping quaternionic subalgebras of $\mathbb{O}$ which contain ℓ, namely those associated with the preferred triple i, j, k. We identify these 3 quaternionic spaces as describing 3 generations. Furthermore, each such generation consists precisely of 1 massive spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particle with 2 spin states, 1 massless spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particle with only 1 helicity, and their antiparticles. We identify this set of particles with a generation of leptons. This formalism thus predicts 3 generations of leptons with the correct number of spin/helicity states!

In addition, there is also a single massless particle/antiparticle pair, which is purely complex. It therefore does not belong to any generation, and it has the opposite helicity from the other massless particles. We do not currently have a physical interpretation for this additional particle; if this theory is to correspond to nature, then for some reason this additional particle must not interact much with anything else.

A more detailed description of the theory described here will be presented elsewhere [12]. The theory can also be elegantly rewritten in terms of 3×3 octonionic Hermitian matrices, similar to the approach to the superparticle presented in [5,6]. This approach, which will be presented elsewhere [13], demonstrates that the theory is invariant under a much bigger group than the Lorentz group, namely the exceptional group E_6. We are attempting to use this larger group to extend the theory so as to include interactions, especially $SU(2) \times U(1)$ for leptons, and possibly even color and quarks.

This formalism contains essentially only 1 free parameter per generation, namely the length scale to be associated with the octonionic units i, j, and k. Should it be possible to include color, and hence quarks, in this description, this would lead to definite predictions, for instance, relating the lepton masses to the masses of (free) quarks. Furthermore, since the natural way to describe this scaling is in terms of a metric, the consideration of a non-flat background metric could lead to observable phenomena. A non-flat background could change the orientation of the octonionic directions from one spacetime point to another, resulting in generation mixing which would depend on the strength of the background curvature. Perhaps nuclear reactions in the sun run at different rates from those on Earth.

We have worked only in momentum space, and have discussed only free particles. Perhaps our most intriguing result is the observation that the introduction of position space requires a preferred complex unit in the Fourier transform. Similarly, a description of interactions based on minimal coupling again involves a preferred complex unit. Therefore, it does not appear to be *possible* to use the formalism presented here to give a full, interacting, 10-dimensional theory in which all 10 spacetime dimensions are on an equal footing. We view this as a tantalizing hint that not only interactions, but even 4-dimensional spacetime itself, may arise as a consequence of the symmetry breaking from 10 dimensions to 4!

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank David Griffiths, Jason Janesky, Phil Siemens, and Pat Welch for discussions, Paul Davies for moral support, and the physics departments at Reed College and the University of Adelaide for hospitality. We are grateful for the vision of the participants in the first Octoshop (Göteborg, 1994), namely Rafał Abłamowicz, Martin Cederwall, Geoffrey Dixon, Pertti Lounesto, Ian Porteous, and Tony Smith, several of whom also participated in the second Octoshop (Corvallis, 1997). This work was deeply influenced by a long-standing collaboration with Tony Sudbery, Jörg Schray, and especially David Fairlie, who started it all [14]. This work was partially supported by NSF Grant PHY-9208494 (CAM & TD) and a Fulbright Grant (TD) under the auspices of the Australian-American Educational Foundation.

---

[1] Geoffrey M. Dixon, **Division Algebras: Octonions, Quaternions, Complex Numbers and the Algebraic Design of Physics**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1994.

[2] Feza Gürsey and Chia-Hsiung Tze, **On the Role of Division, Jordan, and Related Algebras in Particle Physics**, World Scientific, Singapore, 1996.

[3] David B. Fairlie & Corinne A. Manogue, Phys. Rev. **D36**, 475–479 (1987).

[4] Corinne A. Manogue & Anthony Sudbery, Phys. Rev. **D40**, 4073–4077 (1989).

[5] Jörg Schray, **Octonions and Supersymmetry**, Ph.D. thesis, Department of Physics, Oregon State University, 1994.

[6] Jörg Schray, Class. Quant. Grav. **13**, 27 (1996);

[7] Jörg Schray & Corinne A. Manogue, Foundations of Physics, **26** (1996) 17–70.

[8] Corinne A. Manogue and Jörg Schray, J. Math. Phys. **34**, 3746–3767 (1993).

[9] A. Sudbery, J. Phys. **A17**, 939 (1987).

[10] K. W. Chung & A. Sudbery, Phys. Lett. **B198**, 161 (1987).

[11] It is not true that octonionic self-adjoint operators have only real eigenvalues. In fact, the spin eigenstates given in (10) and (11) are simultaneous eigenstates of all 3 angular momentum operators, albeit with quaternionic eigenvalues! However, only real eigenvalues correspond to observables. For further details, see: Tevian Dray and Corinne Manogue, *The Octonionic Eigenvalue Problem*, (in preparation).

[12] Corinne A. Manogue and Tevian Dray, *Quaternionic Spin*, (in preparation).

[13] Corinne A. Manogue and Tevian Dray, (in preparation).

[14] David B. Fairlie & Corinne A. Manogue, Phys. Rev. **D34**, 1832-1834 (1986).